

Global integrability of cosmological scalar fields

Andrzej J. Maciejewski,

Institute of Astronomy, University of Zielona Góra

Podgórna 50, 65–246 Zielona Góra, Poland, (e-mail: maciejka@astro.ia.uz.zgora.pl)

Maria Przybylska,

Toruń Centre for Astronomy, Nicholas Copernicus University

Gagarina 11, 87–100 Toruń, Poland, (e-mail: mprzyb@astri.uni.torun.pl)

Tomasz Stachowiak,

Astronomical Observatory, Jagiellonian University

Orla 171, 30-244 Kraków, Poland, (e-mail: toms@oa.uj.edu.pl)

Marek Szydłowski,

Marc Kac Complex Systems Research Center, Jagiellonian University

Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

and

Astronomical Observatory, Jagiellonian University

Orla 171, 30-244 Kraków, Poland, (e-mail: uoszydlo@cyf-kr.edu.pl)

August 19, 2008

Abstract

We investigate the Liouvillian integrability of Hamiltonian systems describing a universe filled with a scalar field (possibly complex). The tool used is the differential Galois group approach, as introduced by Morales-Ruiz and Ramis. The main result is that the generic systems with minimal coupling are non-integrable, although there still exist some values of parameters for which integrability remains undecided; the conformally coupled systems are only integrable in four known cases. We also draw a connection with the chaos present in such cosmological models, and the issues of the integrability restricted to the real domain.

1 Introduction

Homogeneous and isotropic cosmological models, although very simple, explain the recent observational data very well [57, 55]. Their foundation is the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe, described by the metric

$$ds^2 = a(\eta)^2 \left[-d\eta^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2 d^2\Omega_2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where a is the scale factor, $d^2\Omega_2$ is the line element on a two-sphere, and we chose to use the conformal time η . As can be seen from the above metric, the scale factor represents the relative change in the distance of two points whose spatial coordinates are fixed. It depends only on time, so that the whole universe is deformed in a homogeneous fashion. From the anthropocentric point of view it could be seen as a three dimensional space evolving in the time - in the simplest case when the curvature index K is zero, it would be a Euclidean space stretched according to a .

If we were to fill such a universe with a matter, its properties could only depend on time, and be the same in all points of the spatial subspace at a given value of η - otherwise the model would no longer be homogeneous.

For example a perfect fluid would be completely described by two quantities - its density and pressure as functions of time. A scalar field would be described by its field variables. Also a cosmological constant with its trivial dependence on time could always be included in such models.

Depending on the matter components one obtains various evolutions of the scale factor a , as given by the general action

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \int \left[\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\alpha \bar{\psi} \nabla^\alpha \psi + V(\psi) + \xi \mathcal{R} |\psi|^2) - \varrho \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{R} is the Ricci scalar, Λ the cosmological constant, V the field's potential, ξ the coupling constant, and ϱ is the density of the perfect fluid. The potential usually includes at least a quadratic term $m^2|\psi|^2$, where m is the so-called mass of the field. When $\xi = 0$ we say that the field is minimally coupled - it does not uncouple since the determinant of the metric g multiplies the whole Lagrangian density. Case with $\xi = \frac{1}{6}$ is the so-called conformal coupling.

For the considered geometry, the above action can be simplified so that it allows the Hamiltonian approach with the phase variables depending only on conformal time η . Due to the required covariance of general relativity, the system is subject to constraints, which in our case mean that the obtained Hamiltonian's value is zero. However, we note that including an additional matter component ϱ is equivalent to considering other energy levels. Namely for $\varrho \propto a^{-4}$ (which is the case for radiation) a constant is added to the Hamiltonian, thus imitating its non-zero value. This is the justification for studying the systems integrability on a generic energy hyper-surface.

From the observational point of view, the cosmological constant provides an explanation for the current accelerating expansion of the universe (Λ Cold Dark Matter model [22]), but a better solution still is sought for. A real scalar field dubbed "quintessence" with the so-called slow rolling potential, which models the dark energy component has been extensively used for that purpose [14, 68]. Realisations of the field itself include also Bose-Einstein condensate of axions [25] or a phantom violating the energy principle [15].

Finally, scalar field could also be the mechanism behind the inflation [43, 42], which is currently the most established and used scenario for the early Universe [13]. Recently, Komatsu et al. [39] have shown that latest observational data (WMAP, SNIa, Barion Oscillation Peak and others) show that the model of chaotic inflation (which strictly speaking should be called non-integrable or complex in the sense that we demonstrate in the present work) with the quadratic potential remains a good fit (within the 95% confidence domain).

From the physical point of view, a universe filled with only one component seems simple enough but it is not the case here. Chaotic scattering has been found in minimally coupled

fields [23], as has chaotic dynamics [48].

The first of our results is that minimally coupled fields are not integrable in the generic case. There are however special families of the system's parameters which leave the question open. We give the appropriate conditions in the concluding section.

There are several physical reasons to study more than just minimally coupled fields. Early works on chaotic inflation found the coupling constant ξ small or negative [30] but some argue [26] that the paradigm of inflation should be generalised to the case with non-zero coupling constant which should not be fine-tuned close to zero, and WMAP observations seem to indicate non-negligible ξ .

The coupling could be generated by quantum corrections [10, 29], or from the renormalisation of the Klein-Gordon equation as described in [16]. The coupling constant should be fixed by particle physics of the matter composing the scalar field, for example the way $\xi = 1/6$ was found in the large N approximation to the Nambu-Jona-Lasimo model in [34]. Non-minimally coupled fields are also interesting in the context of description of the dark energy for which the ratio between the pressure and the energy density is less than -1 . Such matter is called a phantom matter, and cannot be achieved by standard scalar fields [27].

Conformally coupled fields were subject to more rigorous integrability analysis, as opposed to minimally coupled ones, thanks to the natural form of their Hamiltonian. As will be shown in the next section, the kinetic part is of natural form, albeit indefinite, and the potential is polynomial (in the case of real fields).

Chaos has been studied in such fields by means of Lyapunov exponents, perturbative approach, breaking up of the KAM tori [17, 11]. Also the Painlevé property [35] was employed as an indicator of the system's integrability.

Ziglin proved that the system given by (20) is not meromorphically integrable when $\Lambda = \lambda = 0$ and $k = 1$ [67]. His methods were also used by Yoshida to homogeneous potentials which is the case for the system when $k = 0$ [61, 62, 63, 64]. Later, Yoshida's results were sharpened by Morales-Ruiz and Ramis [49], and used by the present authors in [44] to obtain countable families of possibly integrable cases with some restrictions on λ and Λ . Also recently, more conditions for integrability have been given in [12], although only for a non-zero spatial curvature k and a generic value of energy, that is, when the particular solution is a non-degenerate elliptic function defined on a non-zero energy level.

Our work shows, that the conjecture of that paper is in fact correct – as shown in Section 4 – the conformal system is only integrable in two cases (with the above assumptions). We go further than that and show that for a generic energy value, a spatially flat ($k = 0$) the universe is only integrable in four cases. Also, the particular case of zero energy is analysed and new, simple conditions on the model parameters are found. Finally, we check that when $E = k = 0$, the problem remains open, as the necessary conditions for integrability are fulfilled.

When it comes to numerical studies of the problem, there are various results, most notably a chaotic behaviour [36], but also a fractal structure and chaotic scattering [59]. However, it remains unclear whether the widely exercised complex rotation of the variables changes the system's integrability. Even for very simple systems it was shown [31] that there might exist smooth integrals, which are not real-analytic. This question is especially vital since our Universe clearly has real initial conditions and dynamics.

The results obtained for the conformal coupling are much stronger than in the minimal one.

We manage to show, that the four cases with known first integrals are the only integrable ones for the generic energy value.

The Hamiltonian of both these systems has indefinite kinetic energy part, and to cast it into a positive-definite form a transition into imaginary variables is used. It has been done for a conformally coupled field [36], but some authors, see e.g. [54], argue that there are physical limitations which forbid extending the solutions through singularities such as $a = 0$, and an imaginary scale factor seems even less realistic.

We would like to stress that the complexification of the variables in our approach is not to be connected with the physical evolution of the system into the imaginary values. The behaviour of differential equations in the complex domain is a tool that allows for obtaining general results regarding its integrability (also real), as can be seen on the example of the Painlevé analysis [35].

Despite the fact, that systems of the considered type were often called non-integrable, there was seldom a rigorous proof of that proposition. However, the Liouvillian integrability can be studied successfully, as we try to show in this article.

The authors are aware of one notable attempt at studying the problem in [21]; sadly, that paper contains a serious mistake in the application of Yoshida's theorem. The method used requires rescaling by the energy of the system, which the authors of [21] take to be zero. Thus, their Theorem 3 (which contradicts one of the results presented here) is in fact false. The zero energy level usually requires a separate treatment, which we also present here.

The plan of the paper is the following. In the next section we describe the mentioned two cosmological models: of minimally coupled field and conformally coupled field starting from a general description up to the Hamiltonian formulation of these models. Section 3 is devoted to introduction to the Morales-Ramis theory. This is our tool to prove the announced rigorous integrability results for these models. In the next two Sections 4 and 5 all details of integrability analysis are presented. For convenience of readers all integrability results are recapitulated in Section 6.

2 Physical system's setup

2.1 Minimally coupled field

The general action (2) now includes the following parts

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \int \left[\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_\alpha \bar{\psi} \nabla^\alpha \psi + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} |\psi|^2 \right) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4 \mathbf{x}, \quad (3)$$

Using the coordinates of (1), the Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = 6(\ddot{a}a + Ka^2) + \frac{1}{2}|\dot{\psi}|^2 a^2 - \frac{m^2}{2\hbar^2} a^4 |\psi|^2 - 2\Lambda a^4, \quad (4)$$

with the dot denoting the derivative with respect to time. We also dropped a coefficient which includes some physical constants and the part of the action related to the spatial integration.

Next we subtract a full derivative $6(\dot{a}a)$, and use the polar parametrisation for the scalar field $\psi = \sqrt{12}\phi \exp(i\theta)$ to get

$$\mathcal{L} = 6(Ka^2 - \dot{a}^2) + 6a^2(\dot{\phi}^2 + \phi^2\dot{\theta}^2) - 6\frac{m^2}{\hbar^2}a^4\phi^2 - 2\Lambda a^4, \quad (5)$$

and obtain the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{1}{a^2} p_\phi^2 - p_a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2 \phi^2} p_\theta^2 \right) - 6Ka^2 + 2\Lambda a^4 + 6\frac{m^2}{\hbar^2}a^4\phi^2, \quad (6)$$

with

$$p_a = -12\dot{a}, \quad p_\phi = 12a^2\dot{\phi}, \quad p_\theta = 12a^2\phi^2\dot{\theta}. \quad (7)$$

Note that the the elliptic constraints of general relativity require that the above Hamiltonian is identically zero – this is the so-called Friedmann equation, although it is not a dynamical evolution equation but rather a conservation law [47].

Since θ is a cyclical variable, the corresponding momentum is conserved so we substitute $p_\theta^2 = 2\omega^2$. To make all the quantities dimensionless, we make the following rescaling

$$m^2 \rightarrow m^2 \hbar^2 |K|, \quad \Lambda \rightarrow 3\Lambda |K|, \quad \omega^2 \rightarrow 72\omega^2 |K|, \quad p_a^2 \rightarrow 72p_a^2 |K|, \quad p_\phi^2 \rightarrow 72p_\phi^2 |K|. \quad (8)$$

There is no need of changing the variables a and ϕ along with their momenta, as this is really changing the time variable η , and thus the derivatives to which the momenta are proportional. This results also in dividing the whole Hamiltonian by $6\sqrt{2}|K|$ to yield

$$\sqrt{2}H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-p_a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} p_\phi^2 \right) - \frac{K}{|K|} a^2 + \Lambda a^4 + m^2 \phi^2 a^4 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2 \phi^2}. \quad (9)$$

If the spatial curvature is zero, any of the other dimensional constants can be used for this purpose, so without the loss of generality we take the right-hand side to be the new Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-p_a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} p_\phi^2 \right) - ka^2 + \Lambda a^4 + m^2 \phi^2 a^4 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2 \phi^2}, \quad (10)$$

and in all physical cases, $k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $\omega^2 \geq 0$, $m^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $H = 0$. We extend the analysis somewhat assuming that the Hamiltonian might be equal to some non-zero constant $E \in \mathbb{R}$. We will later see, that our analysis includes also the possibility of these coefficients being complex.

Note that for a massless ($m = 0$) field, the system is already solvable, as shown in Appendix A.

From this point on, we take $\omega = 0$, which means the phase is constant. Since the model has U(1) symmetry, we can always make such a field real with a rotation in the complex ψ plane. In other words, we will be investigating a real scalar field only. The reason why we restrict the problem is the following: the method employed requires an explicit (non-constant) particular solution, and the only one known requires $\phi = 0$; which is a singularity of the full Hamiltonian.

Under the above assumption the Hamilton's equations of system (10) are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a} &= -p_a, & \dot{p}_a &= 2ka - 4a^3(\Lambda + m^2\phi^2) + \frac{1}{a^3}p_\phi^2, \\ \dot{\phi} &= \frac{1}{a^2}p_\phi, & \dot{p}_\phi &= -2m^2a^4\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We note that there is an obvious particular solution, which describes an empty universe: $\phi = p_\phi = 0$, $a = q$, $p_a = -\dot{q}$. Thanks to the energy integral $E = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + kq^2 - \Lambda q^4$, it can be identified with an appropriate elliptic function.

2.2 Conformally coupled scalar fields

The procedure of obtaining the Hamiltonian is the same as in the case of minimally coupled fields, only this time the action is

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \int \left[\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_\alpha \bar{\psi} \nabla^\alpha \psi + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R} |\psi|^2 \right) - \frac{\lambda}{4!} |\psi|^4 \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4 \mathbf{x}, \quad (12)$$

where an additional coupling to gravity through the Ricci scalar \mathcal{R} , and a quartic potential term with constant λ are present, as opposed to the minimal scenario. We keep the same notation as before and express the involved quantities in the same coordinates and get

$$\mathcal{L} = 6(\ddot{a}a + Ka^2) - \frac{1}{2}\ddot{a}a|\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\dot{\psi}|^2 a^2 - \frac{m^2}{2\hbar^2} a^4 |\psi|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} a^4 |\psi|^4 - 2\Lambda a^4 - \frac{1}{2} K a^2 |\psi|^2, \quad (13)$$

from which we remove a full derivative, and introduce new field variables $\psi = \sqrt{12} \phi \exp(i\theta)/a$ to obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = 6 \left[\dot{\phi}^2 + \phi^2 \dot{\theta}^2 - \dot{a}^2 + K(a^2 - \phi^2) - \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} a^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^4 - \lambda \phi^4 \right]. \quad (14)$$

The associated Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{24} \left(p_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{\phi^2} p_\theta^2 - p_a^2 \right) + 6 \left[K(\phi^2 - a^2) + \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} a^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^4 \right], \quad (15)$$

with

$$p_a = -12\dot{a}, \quad p_\phi = 12\dot{\phi}, \quad p_\theta = 12\phi^2 \dot{\theta}. \quad (16)$$

We can see that θ is a cyclical variable because we took the potential to depend on the modulus of ψ only, so we write a constant instead of the respective momentum $p_\theta = \omega$.

Finally, we express everything in dimensionless quantities, rescaling the constants, but also the time and momenta (as they are in fact time derivatives), which results in rescaling the whole Hamiltonian. We do this as follows

$$m^2 \rightarrow m^2 \hbar^2 |K|, \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \Lambda |K|, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \lambda |K|, \quad p_x^2 \rightarrow 144 p_x^2 |K|, \quad H \rightarrow \frac{1}{12 \sqrt{|K|}} H, \quad (17)$$

when $K \neq 0$, and using another of the dimensional constants otherwise. Thus, eliminating a multiplicative constant, the Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (p_\phi^2 - p_a^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left[k(\phi^2 - a^2) + \frac{\omega^2}{\phi^2} + m^2 a^2 \phi^2 \right] + \frac{1}{4} (\Lambda a^4 + \lambda \phi^4), \quad (18)$$

with $k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ($K = k|K|$); $\omega, \lambda, \Lambda, m^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $H = 0$ in any physically possible setup. Exactly as in the previous case, the zero value of the energy is a consequence of the constraints introduced by general relativity.

We note that for $m = 0$ the system decouples, and is trivially integrable as shown in Appendix B. That is why we will assume $m \neq 0$ henceforth. We will also take $\omega = 0$, that is, consider a scalar field equivalent to a real field after a unitary rotation in the complex ψ plane.

We change the field variables into the standard q and p ones for further computation, taking

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_1, & p_a &= p_1, \\ \phi &= q_2, & p_\phi &= p_2. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The Hamiltonian is then

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} (-p_1^2 + p_2^2) + V, \\ V &= \frac{1}{2} [k(-q_1^2 + q_2^2) + m^2 q_1^2 q_2^2] + \frac{1}{4} (\Lambda q_1^4 + \lambda q_2^4). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

3 Differential Galois obstructions to integrability

Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional complex analytic symplectic manifold. For a meromorphic function $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote by V_H the Hamiltonian vector field generated by H and let us consider Hamiltonian equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v_H(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x \in M. \tag{21}$$

We assume that a non-constant particular solution $\varphi(t)$ of system (21) is known. Its maximal analytic continuation defines a Riemann surface Γ with the local coordinate t .

Linearisation of (21) around $\varphi(t)$ yields variational equations of the following form

$$\dot{\xi} = A(t)\xi, \quad A(t) = \frac{\partial v_H}{\partial x}(\varphi(t)), \quad \xi \in T_\Gamma M. \tag{22}$$

Thanks to Hamiltonian character of the system the dimension of variational equations can be reduced by two. First we use the fact that a Hamiltonian system has at least one first integral namely Hamiltonian H , thus we can restrict system (21) to the manifold $M_\varepsilon = \{x \in M \mid H(x) = \varepsilon\}$, where $\varepsilon = H(\varphi(t))$. Then we consider the induced system on the normal bundle $N := T_\Gamma M_\varepsilon / T\Gamma$ of Γ

$$\dot{\eta} = \tilde{A}(t)\eta, \quad \tilde{A}(t)\eta = \pi_*(T(v)(\pi^{-1}\xi)), \quad \eta \in N. \tag{23}$$

Here $\pi : T_\Gamma M_\varepsilon \rightarrow N$ is the projection. The system of $2n - 2$ equations obtained in this way is called the normal variational equations.

We can consider the entries of matrices A and \tilde{A} as elements of field $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ of meromorphic functions on Γ . This field with differentiation with respect to t as a derivation is a differential field. Only constant functions from \mathcal{K} have a vanishing derivative, so the subfield of constants of \mathcal{K} is \mathbb{C} .

It is obvious that solutions of (22) are not necessarily elements of \mathcal{K}^n . The fundamental theorem of the differential Galois theory guarantees that there exists a differential field $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{K}$

such that it contains n linearly independent (over \mathbb{C}) solutions of (22). The smallest differential extension $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{K}$ with this property is called the Picard-Vessiot extension. A group \mathcal{G} of differential automorphisms of \mathcal{F} which does not change \mathcal{K} is called the differential Galois group of equation (22). It can be shown that \mathcal{G} is a linear algebraic group. Thus, it is a union of disjoint connected components. One of them containing the identity is called the identity component of \mathcal{G} .

Differential Galois theory was created as a tool to answer the question: whether a given system of linear equations possesses a solution that can be written in a closed form, i.e. is it solvable? The main theorem of this theory states that the necessary condition of solvability in the class of Liouvillian functions (i.e. by generalised quadratures) is solvability of its differential Galois group. We can try to connect the integrability of the original nonlinear system with solvability of its variational equations. However, there is a more direct connection. Namely in eighties of XX century Ziglin observed that if system (21) has $k \geq 2$ functionally independent meromorphic first integrals, then variational equations (22) and also normal variational equations (23) possess k rational first integrals and moreover the monodromy group (that is a subgroup of differential Galois group) has the same number of invariants [65, 66]. Fourteen years later the relation between first integrals and invariants of the differential Galois group was analysed by Baider, Churchill, Rod and Singer in [19]. However the final formulation of relations between integrability of Hamiltonian systems and properties of the differential Galois group of variational equations due to Morales and Ramis [51, 49] where in their analysis not only the presence of first integrals is taken into account but also the consequences of the involution of first integrals. Their main theorem that will be the crucial tool of our analysis is the following.

Theorem 1 (Morales-Ruiz and Ramis [49]) *Assume that a Hamiltonian system is meromorphically integrable in the Liouville sense in a neighbourhood of a phase curve Γ and irregular singularities of the variational equations along Γ do not correspond to phase points at the infinity. Then the identity component of the differential Galois group of the (normal) variational equations associated with Γ is Abelian.*

Let us explain assumptions concerning variational equations in the above theorem. Usually the Riemann surface corresponding to the phase curve Γ is not compact so we compactify it adding some points. Typically these points correspond to equilibria or infinite points. In the later case we have to add these points to the phase space, i.e, we have to extend our original system into a ‘bigger’ phase space. For the extended system the requirement that the considered first integrals are meromorphic in a neighbourhood of the phase curve put strong restrictions: they have to be meromorphic at the infinity. Thus if we remove the assumption about irregular singular points we have to restrict the class of first integrals. Below we give a version of the Morales-Ramis theorem without assumptions concerning the regularity of variational equations, which is adapted to Hamiltonian systems considered in this paper.

Theorem 2 (Morales-Ruiz and Ramis [49]) *Assume that a Hamiltonian system defined in a linear symplectic space is generated by a rational Hamiltonian function and is rationally integrable in the Liouville sense. Then the identity component of the differential Galois group of the (normal) variational equations associated with Γ is Abelian.*

In applications the most difficult part is to check the abelianity of variational equations. Fortunately, thanks to the separation of variational equations into two parts we can restrict to its normal part and in this way to reduce the dimension of the system. Furthermore, because the abelian differential Galois group implies in particular that this group is solvable, thus we can use directly all solvability results concerning some known equations such as e.g. hypergeometric equation, Whittaker equation, Lamé equations. In addition, for a linear second order equation with rational coefficients there exists the closed algorithm, so-called Kovacic algorithm [40], that decides whether equation is solvable in a class of Liouvillian function, yields explicit forms of solutions as well as determines the differential Galois group. This is achieved by providing necessary conditions for solvability of the appropriate Galois group. The equations in question have as their Galois group an algebraic subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, and since there are only three possibilities of those having a solvable identity component, the procedure is arranged in three cases. They consist of analysing the equation's singular points and finding an appropriate polynomial and an algebraic function of possible degrees 2, 4, 6, or 12; used to construct solutions.

This means that Theorem 1 yields really an effective tool for proving the non-integrability and distinguishing the cases suspected about integrability in the case when Hamiltonian depends on some physical parameters, for examples of applications see references in [52].

It can happen that a considered system satisfies all conditions of the above theorem, but nevertheless it is not integrable. It is nothing strange as this theorem gives only necessary conditions for the integrability, This shows a need of stronger necessary conditions for the integrability. They were developed by C. Simó, J.J Morales and J.-P. Ramis [49, 50, 52] and are based on higher order variational equations (HVE's).

Theorem 3 *Assume that a Hamiltonian system is meromorphically integrable in the Liouville sense in a neighbourhood of the analytic phase curve Γ , and the infinity is a regular singular point of the variational equations along Γ . Then the identity component of the differential Galois group of k -th variational equations along Γ is Abelian for all $k \geq 1$.*

For variational equations (VE's) of degree greater than one there is no more the splitting of variational equations into two parts: normal (NVE's) and tangential (TVE's) and there is no reduction of system's dimension and the analysis of the differential Galois group of the whole system of variational equations is more involved. Fortunately, in the case when variational equations are the product of Lamé equations with Lamé-Hermite solutions Morales-Ruiz proved in [49, 50, 52] that the absence of logarithmic terms in solutions of higher order variational equations is a necessary condition of abelianity of the identity component of their differential Galois groups.

The interested reader more detailed and complete presentation of Morales-Ramis theory can find in [19, 49, 51, 65, 66] and of differential Galois theory in [8, 58, 37, 49, 56].

4 Analysis of the minimally coupled field

4.1 $\Lambda = 0$ case

The system now has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a} &= -p_a, & \dot{p}_a &= 2ka - 4m^2 a^3 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{a^3} p_\phi^2, \\ \dot{\phi} &= \frac{1}{a^2} p_\phi, & \dot{p}_\phi &= -2m^2 a^4 \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Using the aforementioned particular solution, for which the constant energy condition becomes $E = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^2 + kq^2$, we have as the variational equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{a}^{(1)} \\ \dot{p}_a^{(1)} \\ \dot{\phi}^{(1)} \\ \dot{p}_\phi^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-2} \\ 0 & 0 & -2m^2 q^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{(1)} \\ p_a^{(1)} \\ \phi^{(1)} \\ p_\phi^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

The normal part of the above system, after eliminating the momentum variation $p_\phi^{(1)}$, and writing x for $\phi^{(1)}$, is

$$q\ddot{x} + 2\dot{q}\dot{x} + 2m^2 q^3 x = 0, \quad (26)$$

which we further simplify like before by taking $z = q$ as the new independent variable, and using the energy condition to get

$$z(E - kz^2)x'' + (2E - 3kz^2)x' + m^2 z^3 x = 0. \quad (27)$$

We check the physical hypersurface of $E = 0$. This requires $k \neq 0$ for otherwise the special solution would become an equilibrium point. Introducing a new pair of variables

$$w(s) = w\left(2\frac{m}{\sqrt{k}}z\right) = z^{3/2}x(z), \quad (28)$$

we finally get

$$\frac{d^2 w}{ds^2} = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\kappa}{s} + \frac{4\mu^2 - 1}{4s^2}\right) w, \quad (29)$$

with $\mu = \pm 1$, and $\kappa = 0$. This is the Whittaker equation, and its solutions are Liouvillian if, and only if, $(\kappa + \mu - \frac{1}{2}, \kappa - \mu - \frac{1}{2})$ are integers, one of them being positive and the other negative [49]. As this is not the case here, this finishes the proof for $k \neq 0$. We recall, that because of the irregular singular point $s = \infty$, this rules out only the rational first integrals.

Non-integrability on one energy level means no global integrability, for the existence of another integral for all values of E would imply its existence on $E = 0$. However, there might exist additional integrals for only some, special values of the energy. It is straightforward to check with the use of Kovacic's algorithm [40], that this is not true here. For our equation, in cases 1 and 2 of the algorithm, there is no appropriate integer degree of a polynomial needed for

the construction of the solution, and case 3 cannot hold, because of the orders of the singular points of the equation.

If $k = 0$, a change of the dependent variable to $w(z) = zx(z)$, reduces equation (27) to

$$Ew'' + m^2 z^2 w = 0, \quad (30)$$

which is known not to possess Liouvillian solutions [40].

We notice that when $\Lambda = E = k = 0$, the system can be reduced to a two-dimensional one. In fact, the reduction is still possible when $\Lambda \neq 0$, so we choose to present in the next section.

4.2 $\Lambda \neq 0$ case

We use the nonzero constant Λ to rescale the system as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{q_1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}, & p_a &= \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}, \\ \phi &= q_2, & p_\phi &= \frac{p_2}{\Lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

so that the equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_1 &= -p_1, & \dot{p}_1 &= 2kq_1 - 4q_1^3(1 + bq_2^2) + \frac{1}{q_1^3}p_2^2, \\ \dot{q}_2 &= \frac{1}{q_1^2}p_2, & \dot{p}_2 &= -2bq_2q_1^4, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $b = m^2/\Lambda$. The energy integral, for the previously defined particular solution, now reads $\mathcal{E} = E\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + kq^2 - q^4$, where q has been rescaled according to (31).

As before, we are interested in the variational equations, which read

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_1^{(1)} \\ \dot{p}_1^{(1)} \\ \dot{q}_2^{(1)} \\ \dot{p}_2^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2(k - 6q^2) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-2} \\ 0 & 0 & -2bq^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1^{(1)} \\ p_1^{(1)} \\ q_2^{(1)} \\ p_2^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

and writing x for $q_2^{(1)}$, and y for $p_2^{(1)}$. The normal part is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{1}{q^2}y, \\ \dot{y} &= -2bq^4x, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

or alternatively

$$\ddot{x} + 2\frac{\dot{q}}{q}\dot{x} + 2bq^2x = 0. \quad (35)$$

4.2.1 $\mathcal{E} = 0$

We first pick the particular solution lying on the zero-energy level, as the global integrability implies the integrability for this particular value of the Hamiltonian. It is important to remember, however, that the converse is not true.

The normal variational equation is cast into a rational form by changing the independent variable to $z = q^2/k$ (for $k \neq 0$ which implies $k^2 = 1$), and using the energy first integral. It then becomes a hypergeometric equation

$$x'' + \frac{5z-4}{2z(z-1)}x' + \frac{b}{4z(z-1)}x = 0, \quad (36)$$

with the respective characteristic exponents

$$\begin{aligned} z = 0, \quad \rho &= -1, 0 \\ z = 1, \quad \rho &= 0, \frac{1}{2} \\ z = \infty, \quad \rho &= \frac{1}{4}(3 - \sqrt{9-4b}), \frac{1}{4}(3 + \sqrt{9-4b}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

By Kimura's theorem [38], the solutions of equation (36) are Liouvillian if, and only if $9 - 4b = (2p - 1)^2$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. As before, this means that for the global integrability this condition must be satisfied.

For $k = 0$ the solution of NVE is $x_{1,2} = q^{-2\rho_{\infty 1,2}}$, and the reduction to a two-dimensional system is possible, as mentioned before.

4.2.2 $\mathcal{E} \neq 0$

The special solution, is now directly connected to the Weierstrass \wp function, for if we introduce a new dependent variable v with

$$q^2 = \frac{1}{2}v + \frac{k}{3}, \quad (38)$$

the energy integral implies that it satisfies the equation

$$\dot{v}^2 = 4v^3 - g_2v - g_3, \quad (39)$$

where

$$g_2 = \frac{16}{3}(k^2 - 3\mathcal{E}), \quad g_3 = \frac{32}{27}k(2k^2 - 9\mathcal{E}), \quad (40)$$

and the discriminant $\Delta = 1024\mathcal{E}^2(k^2 - 4\mathcal{E})$, which we take as non-zero to consider the generic case. Thus, taking $w = q_2^{(1)}q$, and eliminating $p_2^{(1)}$ as before, the normal variational equation reads

$$\ddot{w} = [A\wp(\eta; g_2, g_3) + B]w, \quad (41)$$

with $A = 2 - b$ and $B = -\frac{2}{3}k(1 + b)$. This is the Lamé differential equation, whose Liouvillian solutions are known to fall into three mutually exclusive cases, which are exactly those of Kovacic's algorithm:

1. The Lamé-Hermite case, with $A = n(n+1) = 2 - b$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $9 - 4b = (2n+1)^2$. Case with $n = 1$ already known to be integrable because $b = 0$ represents the massless field.
2. The Brioschi-Halphen-Crawford case, where necessarily n is half an integer, i.e. $n + \frac{1}{2} = l \in \mathbb{N}$, and as before $9 - 4b = (2n+1)^2 = (2l)^2$.
3. The Baldassarri case, with $n + \frac{1}{2} \in \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{5}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, and additional algebraic restrictions on B, g_2 , and g_3 .

In the Lamé-Hermite case we have infinite number of values of $b = 2 - n(n+1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for which the necessary conditions for the integrability given by the Morales-Ramis Theorem 1 are satisfied. In order to obtain stronger result we need to apply a more restrictive necessary conditions. Such conditions are given by higher order variational equations, see [52] for detailed exposition. Here we explain this technique on the considered problem and we will follow [45].

At the beginning, it is convenient to change the variables in equations (32) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= w_1, & p_1 &= -w_2, \\ q_2 &= \frac{w_3}{w_1}, & p_2 &= w_1 w_4 - w_2 w_3. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Let

$$\dot{w} = W(w), \quad w = (w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4), \quad (43)$$

be the system (32) written in the new variables. The advantage of new coordinates is that now the variational equations split into a direct product of two Lamé equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{w}_1^{(1)} \\ \dot{w}_2^{(1)} \\ \dot{w}_3^{(1)} \\ \dot{w}_4^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1 \wp(\eta) + B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 \wp(\eta) + B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1^{(1)} \\ w_2^{(1)} \\ w_3^{(1)} \\ w_4^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

where $\wp(\eta)$ is the one given by equation (39), and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= 6, & B_1 &= 2k, \\ A_2 &= n(n+1), & B_2 &= \frac{2}{3}k(n^2 + n - 3). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

To derive the higher order VE's we substitute into equation (43) the infinite formal series

$$w = \varphi(\eta) + \epsilon w^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 w^{(2)} + \epsilon^3 w^{(3)} + \dots, \quad (46)$$

where φ is the particular solution, and get

$$\dot{w}^{(j)} = W'(\varphi(\eta))w^{(j)} + f_j(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(j-1)}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (47)$$

where $W'(\varphi(\eta))$ is the matrix of right hand sides in (44), and $f_j(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(j-1)})$ are vectors obtained from the Taylor expansions of components of $W(w)$. In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 0, \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{2}W''(\varphi(\eta))(w^{(1)}, w^{(1)}), \\ f_3 &= \frac{1}{6}W'''(\varphi(\eta))(w^{(1)}, w^{(1)}, w^{(1)}) + W''(\varphi(\eta))(w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

and so on. For $j = 1$ equation (44) is recovered. Although $w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(j-1)}$ enter polynomially in the right hand sides of j -th variational equations (47), there exists an appropriate framework to define their differential Galois group. In [52] it was proved that if the system is integrable, then the identity component G_j° of the differential Galois group G_j of j -th variational equations is Abelian. Generally it is very difficult to determine G_j for $j > 1$. However, in a case when the first variational equations are a product of two Lamé equations having infinite differential Galois group we have an effective method to decide whether G_j^0 is Abelian. Namely, if a logarithmic term appears in local solution around $\eta = 0$ of j -th variational equations, then G_j^0 is not Abelian, see [52, 53] for details.

The calculations proceed as follows. The solution of (47) is given by

$$w^{(j)} = X \int X^{-1} f_j d\eta, \quad (49)$$

where $f_j = f_j(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(j-1)})$ and X is the fundamental matrix of the homogeneous system (i.e. the first order VE (44)), so that

$$\dot{X} = W'(\varphi(t))X, \quad \det X \neq 0. \quad (50)$$

We took

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \dot{v}_1 & \dot{v}_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 & v_4 \\ 0 & 0 & \dot{v}_3 & \dot{v}_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (51)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \eta^3 + \frac{k}{7}\eta^5 + \dots, & v_2 &= -\frac{1}{5\eta^2} + \frac{k}{15} + \dots, \\ v_3 &= \eta^{n+1} + \frac{k(n^2 + n - 3)}{6n + 9}\eta^{n+3} + \dots, & v_4 &= -\frac{1}{(2n + 1)\eta^n} + \frac{k(n^2 + n - 3)}{(2n + 1)(6n - 3)\eta^{n-2}} + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Next, we take as the solution of the first order VE

$$w^{(1)} = (0, 0, v_4, \dot{v}_4). \quad (53)$$

Then we fix $n = 2$ and solve the second order VE and we obtain the integrand of (49) for $j = 3$ to be

$$X^{-1}f_3 = (0, 0, \frac{54}{625\eta^8} - \frac{44k}{625\eta^6} + \dots, \frac{54}{125\eta^3} - \frac{128k}{875\eta} + \dots), \quad (54)$$

which produces a logarithm in $w^{(3)}$. If $k = 0$, one has to find solutions of fourth order VE to get

$$X^{-1}f_5 = (0, 0, -\frac{3618}{109375\eta^{10}} - \frac{1272\mathcal{E}}{21875\eta^6} + \dots, -\frac{3618}{21875\eta^5} - \frac{1536\mathcal{E}}{21875\eta} + \dots), \quad (55)$$

which proves the non-integrability, since we assumed $\mathcal{E} \neq 0$.

This behaviour does not change as we increase n , although it was checked only for 10 consecutive values. We thus *conjecture* that for $b = 2 - n(n + 1)$ with integer $n > 1$ the system is not integrable. The procedure described is correct under assumption that the differential Galois group of the normal variational equations is not finite. We discuss this point in Appendix C, and justify that except countable many values of energy the group is not finite.

In the Brioschi case, there is another additional condition for the integrability: the so-called Brioschi determinant Q_l is zero [49]. Unfortunately, there is no closed formula for Q_l for general l , but analysing the first few values we notice a pattern:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= -\frac{3}{2}k, \\ Q_2 &= -\frac{3}{4}(5k^2 - 16\mathcal{E}), \\ Q_3 &= -\frac{9}{8}k(35k^2 - 192\mathcal{E}), \\ Q_4 &= -\frac{5}{16}(2835k^4 - 21600k^2\mathcal{E} - 48384\mathcal{E}^2), \\ Q_5 &= -\frac{4725}{32}k(231k^4 - 2240k^2\mathcal{E} - 16384\mathcal{E}^2), \\ Q_6 &= -\frac{8505}{64}(15015k^6 - 176400k^4\mathcal{E} - 2802432k^2\mathcal{E}^2 - 1126400\mathcal{E}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

When $k = 0$, Q_l is zero for odd l , and proportional to energy, which is not zero, for even l . When $k \neq 0$, so that $k^2 = 1$, each Q_l is a polynomial in \mathcal{E} , and that gives at most a finite number of energy values for which $Q_l = 0$ and the system is potentially integrable. We, again, *conjecture* that if the system is integrable (with this subsection's assumptions) and $k = 0$, then necessarily $n + \frac{1}{2}$ is odd, and that if $k^2 = 1$, then it is not integrable on a generic energy level.

The Baldassarri case can also be studied in more detail by means of the modular function

$$j = \frac{g_2^3}{g_2^3 - 27g_3^2} = \frac{4(k^2 - 3\mathcal{E})^3}{27(k^2 - 4\mathcal{E})\mathcal{E}^2} = \begin{cases} \frac{4(1-3\mathcal{E})^3}{27(1-4\mathcal{E})\mathcal{E}^2} & \text{for } k^2 = 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

A theorem by Dwork [49] states that the number of pairs (j, B) is at most finite in integrable cases. Since j depends on the energy for non-zero k , and B depends on m^2 , it means that a generic energy level is not integrable for a given value of m^2 .

4.2.3 $\mathcal{E} = k = 0$

As mentioned in Section 4.1 in this case we can transform the system to a two-dimensional one. In order to do that, time needs to be changed from the conformal to the cosmological one

$d\eta \rightarrow dt = a d\eta$ in the original equations (11). We then take as the new momenta the Hubble's function a and the derivative of ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} h &:= \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} = -\frac{p_a}{a^2}, \\ \omega &:= \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{p_\phi}{a^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

(This ω is not to be confused with the one introduced in Section 2.) Accordingly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{da}{dt} &= ah, \\ \frac{d\phi}{dt} &= \omega, \\ \frac{dh}{dt} &= 4\Lambda + 4m^2\phi^2 - \omega^2 - 2h^2, \\ \frac{d\omega}{dt} &= -2m^2\phi - 3\omega h. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Thus, we are left with a dynamical system in the (h, ϕ, ω) space, as a decouples. Furthermore, the energy integral is now

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}a^4(2\Lambda + \omega^2 + 2m^2\phi^2 - h^2), \tag{60}$$

so for $a(t)$ which is not trivially zero, it gives a first integral on the reduced space. Choosing an appropriate variable α , suggested by the form of this integral

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{\sqrt{h^2 - 2\Lambda}}{\sqrt{2}m} \sin(\alpha), \\ \omega &= \sqrt{h^2 - 2\Lambda} \cos(\alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\alpha}{dt} &= \sqrt{2}m + 3h \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha), \\ \frac{dh}{dt} &= -3(h^2 - 2\Lambda) \cos^2(\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

The problem of such reduction was also discussed in [28]. It is argued that there can be no chaos in this system, but its integrability – which would be one more first integral – remains unresolved.

5 Analysis of the conformally coupled field

5.1 Known integrable families

There are four known cases when the system has an additional first integral, functionally independent of the Hamiltonian. They were found by applying the so-called ARS algorithm basing on the Painlevé analysis [1]. Table 1 summarises those results.

case	k	Λ	m^2
(1)	$0, \pm 1$	$\Lambda = \lambda$	$m^2 = -3\Lambda$
(2)	$0, \pm 1$	$\Lambda = \lambda$	$m^2 = -\Lambda$
(3)	0	$\Lambda = 16\lambda$	$m^2 = -6\lambda$
(4)	0	$\Lambda = 8\lambda$	$m^2 = -3\lambda$

Table 1: Known integrable cases for the conformally coupled field

And the respective integrals of the systems are

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \begin{cases} H = \frac{1}{2}(p_2^2 - p_1^2) + \frac{k}{2}(q_2^2 - q_1^2) - \frac{m^2}{12}(q_1^4 - 6q_1^2 q_2^2 + q_2^4), \\ I = p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{3}(m^2(q_2^2 - q_1^2) - 3k), \end{cases} \\
(2) \quad & \begin{cases} H = \frac{1}{2}(p_2^2 - p_1^2) + \frac{k}{2}(q_2^2 - q_1^2) - \frac{m^2}{4}(q_2^2 - q_1^2)^2, \\ I = q_1 p_2 + q_2 p_1, \end{cases} \\
(3) \quad & \begin{cases} H = \frac{1}{2}(p_2^2 - p_1^2) - \frac{m^2}{24}(16q_1^4 - 12q_1^2 q_2^2 + q_2^4), \\ I = (q_1 p_2 + q_2 p_1)p_2 + \frac{m^2}{6}q_1 q_2^2(q_2^2 - 2q_1^2), \end{cases} \\
(4) \quad & \begin{cases} H = \frac{1}{2}(p_2^2 - p_1^2) - \frac{m^2}{12}(8q_1^4 - 6q_1^2 q_2^2 + q_2^4), \\ I = p_2^4 + \frac{m^2 q_2^2}{3} \left[4q_1 q_2 p_1 p_2 + q_2^2 p_1^2 - (q_2^2 - 6q_1^2)p_2^2 + \frac{1}{12}q_2^2(q_2^2 - 2q_1^2)^2 \right]. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

In this work, we will show, that the above are the only integrable cases, when $m \neq 0$. An important point to note is that there is a complete symmetry with respect to interchanging Λ and λ . It is a consequence of the fact, that there exists a canonical transformation of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &\rightarrow i p_1, & q_1 &\rightarrow -i q_1, \\
p_2 &\rightarrow p_2, & q_2 &\rightarrow q_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

that changes the Hamiltonian into

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}[k(q_1^2 + q_2^2) - m^2 q_1^2 q_2^2] + \frac{1}{4}(\Lambda q_1^4 + \lambda q_2^4), \tag{65}$$

which is the same after swapping the indices. We shall use this form of H , where the kinetic part is in the natural form, to make the use of some already existing theorems more straightforward.

5.2 Integrability of the reduced problem

It is possible to give stringent conditions for integrability of the system, by considering a reduced Hamiltonian. Namely, we can separate potential V into homogeneous parts of degree 2

and 4:

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= V_{h2} + V_{h4}, \\
V_{h2} &= \frac{1}{2}k(q_1^2 + q_2^2), \\
V_{h4} &= \frac{1}{4}(-2m^2q_1^2q_2^2 + \Lambda q_1^2 + \lambda q_2^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

The following fact is crucial in our considerations: if a potential V is integrable then its highest order as well as the lowest order parts are also integrable. This fact needs some additional justification as its several known proofs are not correct. In fact, consider potential $V = V_{\min} + \dots + V_{\max}$, where V_{\min} and V_{\max} are homogeneous parts of $V = V(q)$, $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of the lowest and the highest degree, respectively. Assume that it admits meromorphic commuting independent first integrals F_1, \dots, F_n . If $F_i = R_i/S_i$ for certain holomorphic functions R_i and S_i , then we set $f_i = r_i/s_i$, where r_i and s_i are the lowest order terms of expansions of R_i and S_i into the power series. It is easy to show that f_i are first integrals of V_{\min} . However, we cannot claim that they are functionally independent. Fortunately we can use in the described situation the Ziglin Lemma [65] which guarantees that we can always choose first integrals F_i in such a way that their leading terms f_i are functionally independent. A more complicated situation arises with the integrability of V_{\max} . Here we have to assume that V is integrable with rational first integrals in order to distinguish their highest order terms. Then we need also an appropriate version of the Ziglin Lemma. Proofs of these facts will be published elsewhere.

In our case if V given by (66) is integrable then V_{h2} and V_{h4} must also be integrable. V_{h2} is the potential of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, thus, it is trivially integrable. However, the homogeneous part V_{h4} gives strong integrability restrictions for the whole potential V . We will call V_{h4} the reduced potential and denote it by \hat{V} .

Thus we effectively set $k = 0$, and are now in position to exercise known theorems concerning homogeneous potentials depending on two variables. In particular the complete analysis for degree 4 has been completed in [45].

In order to identify our potential with some of the list given in that paper, we have to check how many Darboux points there exist, and what are the values of parameters Λ , λ and m that give potentials equivalent to particular families.

We say that a non-zero point $(q_1, q_2) = \mathbf{d}$ is a Darboux point of the potential $\hat{V}(q_1, q_2)$ when it satisfies the equation

$$\hat{V}'(\mathbf{d}) = \gamma \mathbf{d}, \tag{67}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Such a point corresponds to a particular solution of the form

$$\mathbf{q}(\eta) = f(\eta)\mathbf{d}, \quad \mathbf{p}(\eta) = \dot{f}(\eta)\mathbf{d}, \tag{68}$$

with $f(\eta)$ satisfying a differential equation that for a potential of degree 4 takes the form

$$\ddot{f}(\eta) = -\gamma f(\eta)^3. \tag{69}$$

As explained in Section 3, particular solutions allow for studying the variational equations along them, and yield necessary conditions for existence of additional first integrals. However, the major simplification discovered in [45] is that additionally there is only a finite number of parameters' sets (or non-equivalent potentials) corresponding to integrable cases.

Following the cited paper's exposition and notation, we take $\mathcal{I}_{4,2}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{4,3}$ to be the sets of integrable homogeneous potentials of degree 4 with 2 and 3 simple Darboux points respectively. We recall also four characteristic potentials thereof

$$\begin{aligned} V_3 &= \frac{1}{4}aq_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}bq_1^3q_2 + \frac{1}{4}(q_1^2 + q_2^2)^2, \\ V_4 &= \frac{1}{4}aq_1^4 + q_2^4, \\ V_5 &= 4q_1^4 + 3q_1^2q_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}q_2^4, \\ V_6 &= 2q_1^4 + \frac{3}{2}q_1^2q_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}q_2^4, \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

where a and b denote (for the sake of this paragraph) arbitrary complex numbers.

We find that our potential has:

1. Four simple Darboux points, when $\Lambda(m^2 + \Lambda)(m^2 + \lambda) \neq 0$, and $\Lambda\lambda \neq m^4$. The only integrable cases are:
 - (a) $\lambda = \Lambda = -\frac{1}{3}m^2$ (\widehat{V} is equivalent to V_4),
 - (b) $\lambda = -\frac{8}{3}m^2$, $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{6}m^2$ (\widehat{V} is equivalent to V_5),
 - (c) $\lambda = -\frac{8}{3}m^2$, $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{3}m^2$ (\widehat{V} is equivalent to V_6).
2. Three simple Darboux points, when $\Lambda = 0$, and $\lambda(m^2 + \lambda) \neq 0$. There are no integrable families here as $\mathcal{I}_{4,3} = \emptyset$.
3. Two simple Darboux points, when either $\Lambda = \frac{m^4}{\lambda}$ and $\lambda(m^2 + \lambda) \neq 0$, or $\Lambda = \lambda = 0$. Again, no integrable families are present here because $\mathcal{I}_{4,2} = \emptyset$.
4. A triple Darboux point, when $\Lambda = -m^2$. Additionally there is a simple Darboux point when $\lambda \neq 0$. The potential is equivalent to V_3 and is only integrable when $\lambda = -m^2$.

There are two immediate implications that follow. Firstly, that the main system itself with $k = 0$ is only integrable in those four cases, and the respective first integrals are known, as given in the table. Secondly, as it was shown in [33] those cases are the only ones which could be integrable when $k \neq 0$. This happens because the integrability of the full potential implies the integrability of the homogeneous parts of the maximal and minimal degree (the latter is trivially solvable in our case).

As the table shows, when the potential is equivalent to V_3 (or, to be precise, its integrable subcase) or V_4 , the second first integral is known; but V_5 and V_6 only have known first integrals with zero curvature. And as was shown in [12], for $k = 1$, the values of Λ and λ are those of V_5 or V_6 forbid integrability. This is easily extended to the $k = -1$ case, since after the change of variables

$$q_j \rightarrow e^{i\pi/4}q_j, \quad p_j \rightarrow e^{-i\pi/4}p_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{71}$$

we obtain a system with the sign of k changed, but the ratios m^2/Λ and m^2/λ the same. Thus, concerning the conjecture of the quoted paper, our results for $k \neq 0$ enable us to state, that it is true, when the rational integrability is considered.

However, the above considerations assume that the energy value is generic, so that the particular solution is a non-degenerate elliptic function. As stressed before, this does not preclude the existence of an additional first integral on the physically crucial zero-energy level.

5.3 Integrability on the zero-energy level

We choose not to investigate the Darboux points, but the variational equations directly, as they are considerably simpler in this case. The Hamiltonian equations of (20) are

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q}_1 &= p_1, & \dot{p}_1 &= -kq_1 + m^2 q_1 q_2^2 - \Lambda q_1^3, \\ \dot{q}_2 &= p_2, & \dot{p}_2 &= -kq_2 + m^2 q_1^2 q_2 - \lambda q_2^3,\end{aligned}\tag{72}$$

and they admit three invariant planes as was shown in [44]. They are

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_k &= \{(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid q_k = 0 \wedge p_k = 0\}, & k &= 1, 2, \\ \Pi_3 &= \{(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid q_2 = \alpha q_1 \wedge p_2 = -\alpha p_1\}, & \alpha^2 &= \frac{m^2 + \Lambda}{m^2 + \lambda}.\end{aligned}\tag{73}$$

Obviously two particular solutions are

$$\begin{aligned}\{q_1 = p_1 = 0, q_2 = q_2(\eta), p_2 = q_2'(\eta)\}, & \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_2^2 + kq_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} q_2^4 \right), \\ \{q_2 = p_2 = 0, q_1 = q_1(\eta), p_1 = q_1'(\eta)\}, & \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_1^2 + kq_1^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{2} q_1^4 \right),\end{aligned}\tag{74}$$

and in order to find the third particular solution we make a canonical change of variables

$$(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2)^T = \mathbb{B}(Q_1, Q_2, P_1, P_2)^T,\tag{75}$$

where symplectic matrix \mathbb{B} has the block structure

$$\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A} & \mathbb{O} \\ \mathbb{O} & \mathbb{A}^T \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & -a \\ -a & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{O} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{76}$$

and a and b are defined by

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{m^2 + \Lambda}{2m^2 + \lambda + \Lambda}}, \quad b = \sqrt{\frac{m^2 + \lambda}{2m^2 + \lambda + \Lambda}}.\tag{77}$$

Let us introduce five quantities

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= 2m^2 + \lambda + \Lambda, & \alpha_2 &= 3\lambda\Lambda + 2m^2(\lambda + \Lambda) + m^4, & \alpha_3 &= \sqrt{(\lambda + m^2)(\Lambda + m^2)}, \\ \alpha_4 &= \lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 - \lambda\Lambda - m^4, & \alpha_5 &= \lambda\Lambda - m^4.\end{aligned}\tag{78}$$

Then, in the new variables, Hamiltonian (20) has the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} [P_1^2 + P_2^2 + k(Q_1^2 + Q_2^2)] + \frac{1}{4\alpha_1} [\alpha_5 Q_1^4 + 2\alpha_2 Q_1^2 Q_2^2 + 4(\Lambda - \lambda)\alpha_3 Q_1 Q_2^3 + \alpha_4 Q_2^4],\tag{79}$$

and the Hamiltonian equations read

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Q}_1 &= P_1, & \dot{P}_1 &= -kQ_1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} [\alpha_5 Q_1^3 + \alpha_2 Q_1 Q_2^2 + (\Lambda - \lambda) \alpha_3 Q_2^3], \\ \dot{Q}_2 &= P_2, & \dot{P}_2 &= -kQ_2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} [\alpha_2 Q_1^2 Q_2 + 3(\Lambda - \lambda) \alpha_3 Q_1 Q_2^2 + \alpha_4 Q_2^3].\end{aligned}\quad (80)$$

Thus, the third particular solution can be seen to be

$$\{Q_2 = P_2 = 0, Q_1 = Q_1(\eta), P_1 = Q_1'(\eta)\}, \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(P_1^2 + kQ_1^2 + \frac{\alpha_5}{2\alpha_1} Q_1^4 \right). \quad (81)$$

Of course, this is only valid for $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. We investigate what happens when $\lambda + \Lambda = -2m^2$ at the end of this section.

Normal variational equations (NVE's) along those three solutions (in the position variables) are

$$\begin{aligned}\xi''(\eta) &= [-k + m^2 q(\eta)^2] \xi(\eta), \\ \xi''(\eta) &= [-k + m^2 q(\eta)^2] \xi(\eta), \\ \xi''(\eta) &= \left[-k - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} q(\eta)^2 \right] \xi(\eta),\end{aligned}\quad (82)$$

where $q(\eta)$ is one of $\{q_1(\eta), q_2(\eta), Q_1(\eta)\}$, depending on the respective particular solution.

We will consider the $k = 0$ case first. Changing the independent variable to $z = q(\eta)^2$, all the NVE's are reduced to the following

$$2z^2 \xi''(z) + 3z \xi'(z) - \lambda_i \xi(z) = 0, \quad (83)$$

whose solution is

$$\xi(z) = z^{-(1 \pm \sqrt{1+8\lambda_i})/4}, \quad (84)$$

where we have introduced three important quantities

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{m^2}{\Lambda}, \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{m^2}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_5} = \frac{3 - 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}. \quad (85)$$

Note, that if any of Λ , λ or α_5 is zero, the corresponding particular solution is constant and cannot be used to restrict the problem's integrability. Thus, we are left with the $E = k = 0$ case as suspected to be integrable.

When we assume $k \neq 0$, or more precisely $k^2 = 1$, and introduce the same independent variable z as before, the NVE's read

$$\begin{aligned}2z^2(\Lambda z + 2k)\xi''(z) + z(3\Lambda z + 4k)\xi'(z) + (m^2 z - k)\xi(z) &= 0, \\ 2z^2(\lambda z + 2k)\xi''(z) + z(3\lambda z + 4k)\xi'(z) + (m^2 z - k)\xi(z) &= 0, \\ 2z^2\left(\frac{\alpha_5}{\alpha_1} z + 2k\right)\xi''(z) + z\left(3\frac{\alpha_5}{\alpha_1} z + 4k\right)\xi'(z) - \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} z + k\right)\xi(z) &= 0.\end{aligned}\quad (86)$$

First, let us observe that unlike in the previous case, when any of Λ , λ or α_5 is zero, the system is not integrable. This happens, because then the NVE's becomes the Bessel equation

$$s^2 \xi''(s) + s \xi'(s) + (s^2 - n^2) \xi(s) = 0, \quad (87)$$

with $n = 1$ and in a new variable $s = m\sqrt{z/k}$ (for the first two equations) or $s = m\sqrt{-2z/k}$ (for the third equation). The Bessel equation is known not to possess Liouvillian solutions for $n = 1$ [40]. Together with the results of the previous section this leads us to the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *System (20) considered on the zero or generic energy level with $k^2 = 1$ is not integrable when Λ or λ is zero. Additionally for $\lambda + \Lambda \neq -2m^2$, it is not integrable when $\lambda\Lambda = m^4$.*

Assuming that none of those constants is zero, we rescale the variable z in the three equations with

$$z \rightarrow -\frac{2k}{\Lambda}z, \quad z \rightarrow -\frac{2k}{\lambda}z, \quad z \rightarrow \frac{2k\alpha_1}{\alpha_5}z, \quad (88)$$

respectively, so that all three are transformed into a Riemann P equation of the form

$$\xi''(z) + \left(\frac{1 - \delta - \delta'}{z} + \frac{1 - \gamma - \gamma'}{z - 1} \right) \xi'(z) + \left[\frac{\delta\delta'}{z^2} + \frac{\gamma\gamma'}{(z - 1)^2} + \frac{\beta\beta' - \delta\delta' - \gamma\gamma'}{z(z - 1)} \right] \xi(z) = 0, \quad (89)$$

with the following pairs of exponents (δ, δ') , (γ, γ') , (β, β') at its singular points

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1 + 8\lambda_i}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1 + 8\lambda_i} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (90)$$

Using Kimura's results on solvability of the Riemann P equation [38] we check when the difference of the exponents give us cases with the necessary conditions for integrability satisfied, and find that the parameters must belong to the following families

$$\lambda_i = \frac{l_i(l_i + 1)}{2}, \quad l_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (91)$$

These polynomials in l_i are invariant with respect to the change $l \rightarrow -l - 1$, so it is enough to consider non-negative values only. Furthermore, λ_1 and λ_2 cannot be equal to zero, as $m^2 \neq 0$, so l_1 and l_2 need to be strictly positive.

This result can be refined still. First, let us notice, that λ_i are not independent and the relation between them is

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - 1} + \frac{2}{\lambda_3 - 1} = -1, \quad (92)$$

provided $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_5 \neq 0$. In the above form we had to exclude the possibility of $\lambda_i = 1$, so we consider it separately.

Both of λ_1 and λ_2 cannot be equal to 1, as that would mean $\alpha_5 = 0$ and we have shown that then the equations are non-integrable if additionally $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. The $\alpha_1 = 0$ case is described below.

If only one of λ_i , say λ_1 is 1, then necessarily $\lambda_3 = 1$, which follows from the definition (85), and the only possibly integrable cases are those with λ_2 satisfying (92) with $l_2 \geq 2$. The same holds when λ_1 and λ_2 are interchanged. Also, $\lambda_3 = 1$ requires that one of the remaining λ_i is 1.

When l_1 and l_2 are taken to be greater than 1, λ_1 and λ_2 are positive, so the relation (92) requires that $2/(\lambda_3 - 1)$ is negative. This only happens for $l_3 = 0$ and it follows that $l_1 = l_2 = 2$, which is exactly the first known integrable case. Since $1/(\lambda_1 - 1)$ and $1/(\lambda_2 - 1)$ are positive

and tend to zero monotonically as $l_i \geq 2$ tends to infinity, there are no other solutions, and no other integrable sets of parameters.

Finally, we turn to see what happens when $\alpha_1 = 0$, i.e. $\Lambda + \lambda = -2m^2$. This is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} = 2, \quad (93)$$

provided $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$ and the same two conditions of (91) hold because the first two variational equations can still be used. It is straightforward to check that the only integer solution of

$$\frac{1}{l_1(l_1 + 1)} + \frac{1}{l_2(l_2 + 1)} = 1 \quad (94)$$

is $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ (so, incidentally, $\alpha_5 = 0$), which we recognise as the second case of our table.

6 Conclusions

The main results of our paper can be summarised as follows.

For the minimally coupled scalar fields, given by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-p_1^2 + \frac{1}{q_1^2} p_2^2 \right) - k q_1^2 + \Lambda q_1^4 + m^2 q_2^2 q_1^4, \quad (95)$$

we have:

Theorem 4 *For $\Lambda = 0$, if the system is integrable then necessarily $E = k = 0$.*

Theorem 5 *When $\Lambda \neq 0$, if the system is integrable on a generic energy level then either*

1. $9 - 4m^2/\Lambda = l^2$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, or
2. $k = 0$ and $9 - 4m^2/\Lambda = (2n + 1)^2$ for $n + \frac{1}{2} \in \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{5}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$.

Conjecture 5.1 *Suppose $\Lambda \neq 0$, and let n be an integer satisfying $9 - 4m^2/\Lambda = (2n + 1)^2$. If the system is integrable on a generic energy level $E \neq 0$, then either*

1. $n = 1$ or $n = -2$ ($m = 0$ in both cases), or
2. $k = 0$ and $9 - 4m^2/\Lambda = (2l)^2$ with l an odd integer, or
3. $k = 0$ and $n + \frac{1}{2} \in \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{5}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that this is more restrictive than Theorem 5, as case 1 of this theorem admits more values of n than the conjecture's cases 1 and 2 put together.

Theorem 6 *For the zero energy level, and provided that $\Lambda \neq 0$, if the system is integrable then either*

1. $k = 0$, or

2. $9 - 4m^2/\Lambda = (2n + 1)^2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

While for the conformally coupled scalar fields, given by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (-p_1^2 + p_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} [k(-q_1^2 + q_2^2) + m^2 q_1^2 q_2^2] + \frac{1}{4} (\Lambda q_1^4 + \lambda q_2^4), \quad (96)$$

we have:

Theorem 7 *The system restricted to a generic energy level $E \neq 0$ is integrable if, and only if,*

1. $k = 0$, and its parameters belong to the four families listed in Table 1. Otherwise there exists no additional, meromorphic integral.
2. $k^2 = 1$, and its parameters belong to the first two families of Table 1. Other than that, there exists no additional, rational first integral.

The second part of the above theorem can be strengthened to meromorphic first integrals, although not for all values of the parameters, as described in [12].

Theorem 8 *If the system restricted to the zero energy level is integrable, then either*

1. $k = 0$, or
2. $k^2 = 1$ and its parameters belong to the first two families of Table 1, or
3. $k^2 = 1$ and one of $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ is equal to 1, and the other satisfies the condition (91) with $l_i \geq 2$.

Otherwise, the system is not meromorphically integrable. In particular this means, that for $k^2 = 1$ if at least one of Λ and λ is zero, then the system is non-integrable.

These are, however, only necessary and not sufficient conditions, so that the system might still prove not to be integrable at all. In particular, the numerical search for chaos suggests both the lack of global first integrals, and crucial differences in the behaviour of the system for real and imaginary values of the variables. This might be a clue, that the system might have first integrals which are not analytic, and thus not prolongable to the complex domain. A system with similar property was studied by the authors in [46].

The immediate physical consequences of the non-integrability is the non-existence of constants of motion (by definition) or, in other words, laws of conservation. This results not only in the complexity of evolution but also in a harder descriptive approach to a physical system which does not possess any global, well defined, preserved quantities like total charge or spin (in general – we have not considered such quantities in the present work). It is also obvious that direct integration, or obtaining the solutions in closed forms by means of elementary functions is out of question with non-integrable problems.

Of course, depending on the properties of the first integrals, we might get quite different results, and the requirement of meromorphicity or rationality is still very restrictive. As described in the introduction, this leaves open the question of existence of real-analytic first integrals.

Also we recall that physically the scale factor a cannot even assume negative values, and some authors argue that when cosmological (instead of conformal) time is used, the evolution is not, in essence, chaotic [18]. Thus, we would like to stress that Liouvillian integrability is a mathematical property of the system, and often the methods used to study it require the complexification of variables. This means that when restricted to the narrower, physical domain, the dynamics might be much simpler. And in particular we might be interested in a particular trajectory whose behaviour is far from generic. It is no surprise then, that the dynamics of our system when restricted to $a > 0$ might appear regular. It should still be noted that the notion of chaos, although frequently associated with the integrability, has not yet been successfully conflated with it. And that a regular evolution is not necessarily integrable.

Acknowledgements

A very special thanks to the referees of this paper for many suggestions of the improvements of this article.

For the first three authors this research was supported by grant No. N N202 2126 33 of Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland. For the second author this research has been partially supported by the European Community project GIFT (NEST-Adventure Project no. 5006), by Projet de l'Agence National de la Recherche "Intégrabilité réelle et complexe en mécanique hamiltonienne" N° JC05_41465 and by the grant UMK 414-A. And for the fourth author, by the Marie Curie Actions Transfer of Knowledge project COCOS (contract MTKD-CT-2004-517186).

Appendix A. Massless minimal field

When $m = 0$, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the main Hamiltonian (10) will become separable, because it can be written as

$$Ea^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial a} \right)^2 - ka^4 + \Lambda a^6 + \frac{\omega^2}{\phi^2}, \quad (97)$$

with the full generating function $S = W - E\eta$. Assuming $W = A(a) + F(\phi)$, equation (97) can be solved with

$$\begin{aligned} F(\phi) &= \int \sqrt{2 \left(J - \frac{\omega^2}{\phi^2} \right)} d\phi, \\ A(a) &= \int \sqrt{2 \left(\Lambda a^4 - ka^2 - E + \frac{J}{a^2} \right)} da, \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

where J is a constant of integration. The first equation of motion can then be deduced from

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial E} - \eta = \int \frac{da}{\sqrt{2 \left(\Lambda a^4 - ka^2 - E + \frac{J}{a^2} \right)}} = \text{const}, \quad (99)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{da}{d\eta}\right)^2 = 2\left(\Lambda a^4 - ka^2 - E + \frac{J}{a^2}\right). \quad (100)$$

Or, introducing a new variable $v = a^2$, as

$$\left(\frac{dv}{d\eta}\right)^2 = 8(\Lambda v^3 - kv^2 - Ev + J), \quad (101)$$

so that the general solution is

$$a^2 = v = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \wp(\eta - \eta_0; g_2, g_3) + \frac{k}{3\Lambda}, \quad (102)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= \frac{16}{3}k^2 + 16\Lambda E \\ g_3 &= \frac{32}{3}\Lambda kE + \frac{64}{27}k^3 - 32\Lambda^2 J, \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

and η_0 is the constant of integration. Of course, for $\Lambda = 0$, equation (101) admits solutions in terms of circular functions.

The equation for $\phi(\eta)$ is the following

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial J} = \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\left(J - \frac{\omega^2}{\phi^2}\right)}} + \int \frac{da}{a^2 \sqrt{2\left(\Lambda a^4 - ka^2 - E + \frac{J}{a^2}\right)}} = \text{const}, \quad (104)$$

which we simplify by using the just obtained solution for $v(\eta)$ to get

$$\text{const} = \frac{\sqrt{J\phi^2 - \omega^2}}{\sqrt{2}J} + \int \frac{d\eta}{2v}. \quad (105)$$

As v is an elliptic function of order two, the second integral can be evaluated by means of the Weierstrass zeta and sigma functions to yield

$$\text{const} = \frac{\sqrt{J\phi^2 - \omega^2}}{\sqrt{2}J} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}J} [\zeta(\eta_1) - \zeta(\eta_2)]\eta + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}J} \ln \left[\frac{\sigma(\eta - \eta_1)}{\sigma(\eta - \eta_2)} \right], \quad (106)$$

where $\eta_{1,2}$ are the zeroes of $v(\eta)$, given by

$$3\wp(\eta_{1,2}; g_2, g_3) = -2k, \quad (107)$$

and the constant of integration can be determined from the boundary conditions on the field ϕ . The functions ζ and σ are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} -\zeta'(z) &= \wp(z), \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left(\zeta(z) - \frac{1}{z} \right) = 0, \\ \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} &= \zeta(z), \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(z)}{z} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Again, for $J = 0$, the integrals in (104) reduce to simpler functions.

Appendix B. Massless conformal field

For $m = 0$ we can separately solve equations for each variable, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}\dot{a}^2 - \frac{1}{2}ka^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Lambda a^4, \\ E_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{1}{2}k\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi^4, \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

with $E_1 + E_2 = E$ being the total energy. The first of these is immediately solved, when we substitute $v_1 = a^2$ to get

$$\dot{v}_1^2 = 2\Lambda v_1^3 - 4kv_1^2 - 8E_1v_1, \quad (110)$$

whose solution is

$$v_1(\eta) = \frac{2}{\Lambda}\wp(\eta - \eta_1; g_2, g_3) + \frac{2k}{3\Lambda}, \quad (111)$$

with η_1 the integration constant and

$$g_2 = \frac{4}{3}k^2 + 4\Lambda E_1, \quad g_3 = \frac{8}{27}k^3 + \frac{4}{3}k\Lambda E_1. \quad (112)$$

Of course, when $\Lambda = 0$ the Weierstrass function \wp reduces to a trigonometric function.

Similarly, for the other variable, we substitute $v_2 = \phi^2$ and obtain

$$\dot{v}_2^2 = -2\lambda v^3 - 4kv^2 + 8E_2v - 4\omega^2, \quad (113)$$

whose solution is

$$v_2(\eta) = -\frac{2}{\lambda}\wp(\eta - \eta_2; g_4, g_5) - \frac{2k}{3\lambda}, \quad (114)$$

where

$$g_4 = \frac{4}{3}k^2 + 4\lambda E_2, \quad g_5 = \frac{8}{27}k^3 + \frac{4}{3}k\lambda E_2 + \lambda^2\omega^2, \quad (115)$$

and η_2 is the integration constant. As before, for $\lambda = 0$ the solution degenerates to trigonometric functions.

Appendix C. Lamé equation in the Lamé-Hermite case

Let us consider Lamé equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = (n(n+1)\wp(t) + B)y, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (116)$$

where the Weierstrass function has two periods $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_2$ which are independent over \mathbb{R} . We denote its differential Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(\wp, \dot{\wp})$ by G .

Function $v = \wp(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\dot{v}^2 = 4v^3 - g_2v - g_3 =: f(v) \quad (117)$$

The algebraic form of Lamé equation is obtain from (116) by setting $z = \wp(t)$ and it reads

$$y'' + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} y' - \frac{n(n+1)z + B}{f(z)} y = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (118)$$

Let G_{AL} be the differential Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ of this equation.

As it was show in [20, Section 5], $G = G_{\text{AL}} \cap \text{SL}(2, C)$, and moreover it was also shown that G is finite iff G_{AL} is finite. In [9, Corollary 3.4] it was proved that if G_{AL} is finite, then G_{AL} is a dihedral group D_m of order $2m$, for a certain $m \geq 3$. In this case,

$$G = D_m \cap \text{SL}(2, C) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \exp 2\pi i \frac{l}{m} & 0 \\ 0 & \exp -2\pi i \frac{l}{m} \end{bmatrix} \mid l = 0, \dots, m-1 \right\}.$$

This fact implies that if G is finite, then it is a cyclic group of order m for a certain $m \geq 3$, so there are two independent solutions y_1 and y_2 of (116) such that $y_i^m \in \mathbb{C}(\wp, \wp')$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Now, it is known that for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m \geq 3$ the number of linearly non-equivalent Lamé equations (118) with differential Galois D_m is finite, see [9, 24]. Nevertheless, for long time it was unclear if there exists a Lamé equation (118) for which G_{AL} is finite. This problem, among other things, was analysed by Baldassarri and Dwork, see [2, 3, 4], but only a bound on m was found. Later, see [5, 6], examples of Lamé equations (118) with a finite differential Galois group were found.

In practice, it is important to distinguishing parameters n , B , g_2 and g_3 for which G_{AL} is D_m with prescribed $m \geq 3$. However, as far as we know, such conditions is difficult to obtain. For $n = 1$ and $m = 5$ such conditions are given explicitly in [5] where it is conjectured that for arbitrary n and m they should have a polynomial form with respect to variables B , g_2 and g_3 .

References

- [1] Ablowitz M J, Ramani A, and Segur H 1980 A connection between nonlinear evolution equations and ordinary differential equations of P-type. I. *J. Math. Phys.* **27** 15–721
- [2] Baldassarri F and Dwork B 1979 On second order linear differential equations with algebraic solutions *Amer. J. Math.* **101** 42–76
- [3] Baldassarri F 1980 On second order linear differential equations with algebraic solutions on algebraic curves *Am. J. Math.* **102** 517–535
- [4] Baldassarri F 1981 On algebraic solutions of Lamé’s differential equation *J. Differ. Equations* **41** 44–58
- [5] Baldassarri F 1986-1987 Towards Schwarz list for Lamé’s differential operators via division points on elliptic curves *Groupe Etude Anal. Ultramétrique* **14** exp no. 22, p.1–17
- [6] Baldassarri F 1987 Soluzioni algebriche dell’equazione di Lamé e torsione delle curve ellittiche (Algebraic solutions of the Lamé equation and torsion of elliptic curves) *Rend. Semin. Mat. Fis. Milano* **57** 203–213

- [7] Barkatou A. 1993 An algorithm for computing a companion block diagonal form for a system of linear differential equations. *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.* **4**(3) 185–195
- [8] Beukers F Differential Galois theory. In *From number theory to physics (Les Houches, 1989)*. pages 413–439, Springer, Berlin, 1992
- [9] Beukers F and van der Waall A 2004 Lamé equations with algebraic solutions. *J. Differential Equations* **197** 1–25
- [10] Birrel N D and Davies P C *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1980
- [11] Bombelli L, Lombardo F and Castagnino M, 1998 Chaos in Robertson-Walker cosmology *J. Math. Phys.* **39** 6040–6050, (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/9707051)
- [12] Boucher D and Weil J-A 2007 About the non-integrability of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmological model,. *Brazilian. J. Phys.* **37**(2A) 398 – 405
- [13] Boyanovsky D, de Vega H J and Sanchez N G 2006 Clarifying inflation models: Slow-roll as an expansion in $1/N(\text{efolds})$ and no fine tuning *Phys. Rev. D* **73** 023008–1–11 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/0507595)
- [14] Caldwell R R, Dave R and Steinhardt P J 1998 Cosmological Imprint of an Energy Component with General Equation-of-State *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 1582–1585 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/9708069)
- [15] Caldwell R R 2002 A Phantom Menace? *Phys. Lett. B* **545** 23–29 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/9908168)
- [16] Callan C G, Coleman S R and Jackiw R 1970 A New improved energy - momentum tensor *Ann. Physics* **59**(1) 42–73
- [17] Calzetta E and El Hasi C 1993 Chaotic Friedmann-Robertson-Walker Cosmology *Class. Quant. Grav.* **10** 1825–1841 (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/9211027)
- [18] Castagnino M, Giacomini H and Lara L 2002 Asymptotic behavior of FRW cosmologies with many scalar fields. *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 023509–1–6.
- [19] Baider A, Churchill R C, Rod D L and Singer M F 1996 On the infinitesimal geometry of integrable systems. *Fields Inst. Commun.* **7** 5–56
- [20] Churchill R C 1999 Two generator subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and the hypergeometric, Riemann, and Lamé equations *J. Symbolic Comput.* **28**(4-5):521–545
- [21] Coelho L A A, Skea J E F and Stuchi T J 2008 On the integrability of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker models with conformally coupled massive scalar fields. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 075401–1–15
- [22] Copeland E J, Sami M and Tsujikawa S 2006 Dynamics of dark energy *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15** 1753–1936 (Preprint arXiv:hep-th/0603057)

- [23] Cornish N J and Shellard E P S 1998 Chaos in quantum cosmology *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**(17) 3571–3574 (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/9708046)
- [24] Dahmen S R 2006 Counting integral Lamé equations by means of dessins d’enfants *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **359**(2) 909–922
- [25] Dymnikova I and Khlopov M 2000 Decay of cosmological constant as Bose condensate evaporation *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **15**(38–39) 2305–2314 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/0102094)
- [26] Faraoni V 2001 A crucial ingredient of inflation *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **40** 2259–2294 (Preprint arXiv:hep-th/0009053)
- [27] Faraoni V 2002 Superquintessence *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11**(4) 471–481 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/0110067)
- [28] Faraoni V, Jensen M. N and Theuerkauf S A 2006 Non-chaotic dynamics in general-relativistic and scalar-tensor cosmology *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**(12) 4215–4229 (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/0605050)
- [29] Ford L H and Toms D. J 1982 Dynamical Symmetry Breaking Due To Radiative Corrections In Cosmology *Phys. Rev. D* **25** 1510–1518
- [30] Futamase T and Maeda K 1989 Chaotic inflationary scenario of the Universe with a non-minimally coupled inflaton field *Phys. Rev. D* **39** 399–404
- [31] Gorni G and Zampieri G 2005 Analytic non-integrability of an integrable analytic Hamiltonian system. *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **22**(3) 287–296
- [32] Guo Z K, Ohta N and Zhang Y. Z 2005 Parametrization of quintessence and its potential *Phys. Rev. D* **72** 023504–1–4 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/0505253)
- [33] Hietarinta J 1987 Direct methods for the search of the second invariant. *Phys. Rep.* **147**(2) 87–154
- [34] Hill C T and Salopek D S 1992 Calculable nonminimal coupling of composite scalar bosons to gravity *Annals Phys.* **213** 21–30
- [35] Helmi A and Vucetich H 1997 Non-integrability and chaos in classical cosmology *Phys. Lett A* **230**(3–4) 153–156 (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/9705009)
- [36] Joras S E and Stuchi T J 2003 Chaos in closed FRW: An imaginary approach *Phys. Rev. D* **68** 123525–1–12 (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/0307044)
- [37] Kaplansky I *An introduction to differential algebra* Hermann, Paris, 1976
- [38] Kimura T 1969 On Riemann’s equations which are solvable by quadratures *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* **12** 269–281

- [39] Komatsu E *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration] 2008 Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations:Cosmological Interpretation Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/08030547
- [40] Kovacic J J 1986 An algorithm for solving second order linear homogeneous differential equations *J. Symb. Comput.* **2**(1) 3–43
- [41] Kozlov V V *Symmetries, Topology and Resonances in Hamiltonian Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996
- [42] Lidsey J E, Liddle A R, Kolb E W, Copeland E J, Barreiro T and Abney M 1997 Reconstructing the inflaton potential: An overview *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69** 373–410 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/9508078)
- [43] Linde A D 1982 A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution Of The Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy And Primordial Monopole Problems *Phys. Lett. B* **108** 389–393
- [44] Maciejewski A. J and Szydłowski M 2000 Towards a description of complexity of the simplest cosmological systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 9241–9254
- [45] Maciejewski A J and Przybylska M 2005 Darboux points and integrability of Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous polynomial potential *J. Math. Phys.* **46**(6) 062901-1–33
- [46] Maciejewski A J, Przybylska M and Stachowiak T 2005 Non-integrability of Gross-Neveu systems *Physica D* **201** 249–267
- [47] Misner C W, Thorne K S, Wheeler J A *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco 1973
- [48] Monerat G A, de Oliveira H P and Soares I D 1998 Chaos in preinflationary Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universes *Phys. Rev. D* **58** 063504-1–12 (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/9803046)
- [49] Morales-Ruiz J J *Differential Galois theory and non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999
- [50] Morales-Ruiz J J 2000 Kovalevskaya, Liapounov, Painlevé, Ziglin and the Differential Galois theory. *Reg. Chaot. Dyn.* **5**(3) 251–272
- [51] Morales-Ruiz J J and Ramis J-P 2001 Galoisian obstructions to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. I. *Methods Appl. Anal.* **8**(1) 33–95
- [52] Morales-Ruiz J J, Ramis J-P and Simó C 2007 .Integrability of Hamiltonian Systems and Differential Galois Groups of Higher Variational Equations, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **40** 845– 884
- [53] Morales-Ruiz J J and Ramis J-P 2007 *Integrability of Dynamical Systems through Differential Galois Theory: a practical guide*, preprint

- [54] Motter A E and Letelier P S 2002 FRW cosmologies between chaos and integrability *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 068502-1–2 (Preprint arXiv:gr-qc/0202083)
- [55] Perlmutter S *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration] 1999 Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae *Astrophys. J.* **517** 565–586 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/9812133)
- [56] van der Put M, Singer M F *Galois theory of linear differential equations*, volume 328 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003
- [57] Riess A G *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration] 1998 Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant *Astron. J.* **116** 1009–1038 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/9805201)
- [58] Singer M F, An outline of differential Galois theory. In *Computer algebra and differential equations* pages 3–57, Academic Press, London, 1990
- [59] Szydlowski M, Hrycyna O and Krawiec A 2007 Phantom cosmology as a scattering process. *J. Cosmology and Astroparticle Phys.* P06(2007)010 (Preprint arXiv:hep-th/0608219)
- [60] Whittaker E T. and Watson G N *A Course of Modern Analysis* Cambridge University Press, London, 1935
- [61] Yoshida H 1986 Existence of exponentially unstable periodic solutions and the non-integrability of homogeneous Hamiltonian systems *Physica D* **21**(1) 163–170
- [62] Yoshida H 1987 A criterion for the nonexistence of an additional integral in Hamiltonian systems with a homogeneous potential. *Physica D* **29**(1-2) 128–142
- [63] Yoshida H 1988 A note on Kowalevski exponents and the nonexistence of an additional analytic integral. *Celestial Mech.* **44**(4) 313–316
- [64] Yoshida H 1989 A criterion for the nonexistence of an additional analytic integral in Hamiltonian systems with n degrees of freedom. *Phys. Lett. A* **141**(3-4) 108–112
- [65] Ziglin S L 1982 Branching of solutions and nonexistence of first integrals in Hamiltonian mechanics. I. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **16** 181–189
- [66] Zigli S L 1983 Branching of solutions and the nonexistence of first integrals in Hamiltonian mechanics. II *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **17** 6–17
- [67] Ziglin S L 2000 On the non-integrability of a dynamical system of the general relativity. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.* **5**(2) 225–226
- [68] Zlatev I, Wang L M and Steinhardt P J 1999 Quintessence, Cosmic Coincidence, and the Cosmological Constant *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** 896–899 (Preprint arXiv:astro-ph/9807002)